

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,800	12/27/2005	Ludwig Boge	3081.114US01	8931	
24113 7590 09/26/2007 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CEN	800 IDS CENTER			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
80 SOUTH 8T MINNEAPOL	H STREET IS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2856		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,800	BOGE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Robert R. Raevis	2856			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	ugust 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accerding accerding and accerding any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) _	•				
2)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>Exhibit "A"</u> .	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12,14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 1,9, "the mounting element" (line 2 from last) lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 12, "the respective machine part" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 14, "the mounting element" (line 5 from last) lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reference DE8616206.6.

The reference teaches (Figure 2) a geometric device for distance measurement system for "machine tools" (p. 1, line 20, Translation), including: sensing head 27,28,20 and rule 21,22 sensed by it, the head being mountable on a first machine part via block 6 and the rule being mountable with a second machine part via block 4. The device includes mounting the rule within 22,21 within a profiled part 3 that has to parts and which is mountable on the second machine part via block 4, and into which the sensing head 27,28,20. In addition, the sensing head is positioned within the profiled part 3 by inserting the head through the end of part 3, necessarily requiring deflection of spacing members 30 for the sensor portion 20 to be inserted into the slot defined between the members, which insertion results in the middle portion of the members 30 being squeezed (i.e. clamped) between the sensing head and the part 3. Note that there is a

"groove" (See Exhibit "A") defined along the interior surface of the part where the

member extends into the part 3.

The refernce does not state that the members 30 are "removable".

As to claim 13, the members 30 are elastic, and appear to be forced into a "cavity" (See Exhibit "A") of the profile part, and to that extent the members 30 appear to be "removable" by simply pulling them out of the cavity. In the alternative, it is known to secure a flexible strip into such a cavity to provide for an effective attachment which is removable.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reference DE8616206.6.

As to claim 14, the sensor head 27,28,20 is not contacting the profiled part 3, and thus the head protrudes from the profiled part 3 without being supported "thereon" (line 5 from bottom of Applicant's claim 14).

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 1, note was made of "grooves of the sensing head".

Application/Control Number: 10/532,800

Art Unit: 2856

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30am to 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams, can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAZVIS

ZXHIBIT IIII.

